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Ohlone Community College District (the "District") was established on July 1, 1966, and is comprised of an area approximating 534 acres in Fremont and 80 acres in Newark. There was no change in the boundaries of the District during the current year.

In March 2002, the District’s voters approved by more than the required 55% favorable vote, Measure A, authorizing the issuance and sale of General Obligation Bonds, not to exceed $150,000,000. In November 2010, the District’s voters approved by more than the required 55% favorable vote, Measure G, authorizing the issuance and sale of General Obligation Bonds, not to exceed $349,000,000 to continue the goals of Measure G.

- In June 2002 and August 2005, the District issued General Obligation Bonds totaling $150,000,000. These bonds were issued to finance the acquisition, construction and modernization of property and facilities. The Current Interest and Capital Appreciation Bonds interest and yield vary, ranging from 3.00% to 5.00% and are scheduled to mature through August 2012 and August 2030 for the 2002 and 2005 issuances, respectively.

- In August 2010, the District issued General Obligation Refunding Bonds totaling $23,680,000. These bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the District’s outstanding Election of 2002 General Obligation Bonds, Series A, and to pay the costs of issuing the bonds. This refinancing saved District taxpayers approximately $3.5 million. The bonds mature through August 2026 and bear interest at rates ranging from 2.00% to 4.50%.

- In October 2011, the District issued $70,000,000 and $10,000,000 in 2010 General Obligation Bonds, Series A and Series A-1, respectively. The Series A Bonds are being issued to finance the repair, upgrading, acquisition, construction and equipping of certain District property and facilities approved by the District’s registered voters and to pay the cost of issuance associated with the Bonds. The Series A-1 Bonds are being issued to fund a technology endowment. Interest earnings from the endowment shall remain in the Building Fund and used for the purposes of that fund. The Series A and Series A-1 bonds will both mature through August 1, 2041 and have interest rates ranging between 2.00% and 5.25%.

- In August 2012, the District issued General Obligation Refunding Bonds totaling $94,070,000. These bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the District’s outstanding Election of 2002 General Obligation Bonds, Series B, and to pay the costs of issuing the bonds. This refinancing saved District taxpayers approximately $8.3 million. The bonds mature through August 2030 and bear interest at rates ranging from 1.50% to 5.00%.

Measures A and G are Proposition 39 Bonds. The passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000 amended the California Constitution to include accountability provisions. Specifically, the District must conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed as well as an annual, independent financial audit of the proceeds from the sale of the bonds until all of the proceeds have been expended for facilities projects.
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Upon passage of Proposition 39, an accompanying piece of legislation, AB 1908 (Chapter 44, Statutes of 2000), was also enacted, which amended the Education Code to establish additional procedures which must be followed if a District seeks approval of a bond measure pursuant to the 55% majority authorized in Measures A and G including formation, composition and purpose of the Citizens’ Oversight Committee, and authorization for injunctive relief against the improper expenditure of bond revenues.

The Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee had the following members as of June 30, 2014, all of whom were appointed by the District’s Board of Education with two year terms of office:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Representation</th>
<th>Education Code Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrea Francis</td>
<td>Chairperson</td>
<td>Community -at- Large</td>
<td>15282(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rakesh Sharma</td>
<td>Vice Chair</td>
<td>Active in a Foundation</td>
<td>15282(a)(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Dan Chang</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Active in a Senior Citizens Organization</td>
<td>15282(a)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Hatton</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Community -at- Large</td>
<td>15282(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luzviminda Macabitas</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Community -at- Large</td>
<td>15282(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Pirrone</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Active in a Business Organization</td>
<td>15282(a)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hector Rastrullo</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Community -at- Large</td>
<td>15282(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Wolfe</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Active in a Taxpayers’ Association</td>
<td>15282(a)(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Represents ASOC</td>
<td>15282(a)(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recruitment for a student representative to serve on the committee was in progress as of June 30, 2014. The District’s Vice President of Administrative Services serves as staff liaison to the CBOC.
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT

The Board of Trustees
Ohlone Community College District
Fremont, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure A and G Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund of Ohlone Community College District (the “Measure A and G Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund”) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Measure A and G Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.
An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

As discussed in Note 1A, the financial statements present only the individual Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund, consisting of the net construction proceeds of the Measure A and G general obligation bonds as issued by the District, through the County of Alameda, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position of the District in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Measure A and G Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund of Ohlone Community College District as of June 30, 2014 and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Measure A and G Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s basic financial statements. The supplementary information listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information listed in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.
Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 31, 2014 on our consideration of the Measure A and G Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Measure A and G Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

In accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as incorporated in California Constitution Article 13A, we have also issued our performance audit report dated December 3, 2014 on our consideration of the Measure A and G Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39. That report is an integral part of our audit of the Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund for the year ended June 30, 2014 and should be considered in assessing the results of our financial audit.

San Diego, California
December 3, 2014
FINANCIAL SECTION
PROPOSITION 39 BOND BUILDING FUND  
OHLONE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
Balance Sheet  
June 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MEASURE A</th>
<th>MEASURE G</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash in county treasury</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$25,821,375</td>
<td>$25,821,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11,688</td>
<td>11,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$35,833,063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MEASURE A</th>
<th>MEASURE G</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,076,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,076,328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FUND BALANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MEASURE A</th>
<th>MEASURE G</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for capital projects</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,756,735</td>
<td>30,756,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities and Fund Balance</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$35,833,063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.
PROPOSITION 39 BOND BUILDING FUND  
OHLONE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MEASURE A</th>
<th>MEASURE G</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>$1,063</td>
<td>$87,020</td>
<td>$88,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other local revenue</td>
<td>634,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>634,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>635,063</td>
<td>87,020</td>
<td>722,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPENDITURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital outlay</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,545,941</td>
<td>10,545,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies and noncapitalized equipment</td>
<td>1,082</td>
<td>563,864</td>
<td>564,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating expenses</td>
<td>184,191</td>
<td>10,126,574</td>
<td>10,310,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classified salaries</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245,196</td>
<td>245,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85,220</td>
<td>85,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other outgo</td>
<td>453,074</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>453,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>638,347</td>
<td>21,566,795</td>
<td>22,205,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES ANDUSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfund transfers in</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,003,714</td>
<td>1,003,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other Financing Sources and Uses</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,003,714</td>
<td>1,003,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Change in Fund Balance</td>
<td>(3,284)</td>
<td>(20,476,061)</td>
<td>(20,479,345)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance, July 1, 2013</td>
<td>3,284</td>
<td>51,232,796</td>
<td>51,236,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance, June 30, 2014</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$30,756,735</td>
<td>$30,756,735</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.
NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

Ohlone Community College District (the "District") was established on July 1, 1966, and is comprised of an area approximating 534 acres in Fremont and 80 acres in Newark. There was no change in the boundaries of the District during the current year.

In March 2002, the District’s voters approved by more than the required 55% favorable vote, Measure A, authorizing the issuance and sale of General Obligation Bonds, not to exceed $150,000,000. In November 2010, the District’s voters approved by more than the required 55% favorable vote, Measure G, authorizing the issuance and sale of General Obligation Bonds, not to exceed $349,000,000 to continue the goals of Measure G.

- In June 2002 and August 2005, the District issued General Obligation Bonds totaling $150,000,000. These bonds were issued to finance the acquisition, construction and modernization of property and facilities. The Current Interest and Capital Appreciation Bonds interest and yield vary, ranging from 3.00% to 5.00% and are scheduled to mature through August 2012 and August 2030 for the 2002 and 2005 issuances, respectively.

- In 2010, the District issued General Obligation Refunding Bonds totaling $23,680,000. These bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the District’s outstanding Election of 2002 General Obligation Bonds, Series A, and to pay the costs of issuing the bonds. This refinancing saved District taxpayers approximately $3.5 million. The bonds mature through August 2026 and bear interest at rates ranging from 2.00% to 4.50%.

- In October 2011, the District issued $70,000,000 and $10,000,000 in 2010 General Obligation Bonds, Series A and Series A-1, respectively. The Series A Bonds are being issued to finance the repair, upgrading, acquisition, construction and equipping of certain District property and facilities approved by the District’s registered voters and to pay the cost of issuance associated with the Bonds. The Series A-1 Bonds are being issued to fund a technology endowment. Interest earnings from the endowment shall remain in the Building Fund and used for the purposes of that fund. The Series A and Series A-1 bonds will both mature through on August 1, 2041 and have an interest rates ranging between 2.00% and 5.25%.

- In August 2012, the District issued General Obligation Refunding Bonds totaling $94,070,000. These bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the District’s outstanding Election of 2002 General Obligation Bonds, Series B, and to pay the costs of issuing the bonds. This refinancing saved District taxpayers approximately $8.3 million. The bonds mature through August 2030 and bear interest at rates ranging from 1.50% to 5.00%.
NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

A. Reporting Entity (continued)

An oversight committee to the District’s Governing Board and President, called the Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee (CBOC), was established pursuant to the requirements of state law and the provisions of the Measure A and G Bonds. The CBOC is required by state law to actively review and report on the proper expenditure of taxpayers’ money for school construction. The CBOC provides oversight and advises the public whether the District is spending the Measure A and G bond funds for school capital improvements within the scope of projects outlined in the Measure A and G bond project list. In fulfilling its duties, the CBOC reviews, among other things, the District’s annual performance and financial audits of Measure A and G activity.

The statements presented are for the individual Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund of the District, consisting of the net construction proceeds of the Measure A and G General Obligation Bonds as issued by the District, through the County of Alameda, and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the District’s financial position or results of operations. There are no related parties or component units included in this financial statement presentation.

B. Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the District conform to generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

C. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. “Available” means the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred.

D. Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid.
E. **Deposits and Investments**

In accordance with Education Code Sections 15357 and 41001, the District maintains a portion of its cash in the Alameda County Treasury. The County pools these funds with those of other districts in the County and invests the cash. These pooled funds are carried at cost, which approximates market value. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

F. **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

G. **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for all government funds. By state law, the District’s Governing Board must adopt a budget no later than July 1. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District’s Governing Board satisfied these requirements.

These budgets are revised by the District’s Governing Board during the year to give consideration to unanticipated income and expenditures. Formal budgetary integration was employed as a management control device during the year for all budgeted funds. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

H. **Fund Balance**

Under GASB Statement No. 54, fund balance is divided into five classifications based primarily on the extent to which the District is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources in the governmental funds. The fund balances of the Measure A and G Bonds are considered restricted.

The restricted fund balance classification reflects amounts subject to externally imposed and legally enforceable constraints. Such constraints may be imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or may be imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the state; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered state warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations. Investments of debt proceeds held by trustees are governed by the provisions of debt agreements rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities up to 30 years.

Cash in County Treasury – The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District’s investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District’s pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedule below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authorized Investment Type</th>
<th>Maximum Remaining Maturity</th>
<th>Maximum Percentage of Portfolio</th>
<th>Maximum Investment in One Issuer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency bonds, Notes, Warrants</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Treasury Obligations</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Agency Securities</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banker’s Acceptance</td>
<td>180 days</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Paper</td>
<td>270 days</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiable Certificates of Deposit</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchase Agreements</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Repurchase Agreements</td>
<td>92 days</td>
<td>20% of base</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-Term Corporate Notes</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual Funds</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Market Mutual Funds</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Pass-Through Securities</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Pooled Investment Funds</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Powers Authority Pools</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

Authorized Under Debt Agreements

Investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities of up to 30 years.

Summary of Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2014, consist of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>MEASURE A</th>
<th>MEASURE G</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash in county treasury, at cost</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$25,821,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments at cost</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cash and Investments</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$35,821,375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair market value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair market value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by primarily investing in the County Investment Pool and in other investment agreements.

Specific Identification and Credit Risk

Information about the sensitivity of the fair market values of the District’s investments to market interest rate fluctuations is indicated by the following schedule that shows the distribution of the District’s investment by maturity. Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District’s investment in the County pool is not required to be rated, nor has it been rated as of June 30, 2014. Presented below is the minimum rating required by the California Government Code, the District’s investment policy, or debt agreements, and the actual rating as of the year-end for each investment type. Since all District investment holdings are governmental securities, per California Government Code there is no minimum legal rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment or Deposit Type</th>
<th>Fair Market Value</th>
<th>Days to Maturity</th>
<th>Legal Rating</th>
<th>Rating 6/30/2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Bonds</td>
<td>$10,519,311</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>At Least A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda County Investment Pool</td>
<td>25,833,175</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>AA+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$36,352,486</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Investments within the Alameda County Investment Pool are rated at least A by Moody’s Investors Service.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the District contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond the amount stipulated by the California Government Code. District investments that are greater than 5 percent of total investments are in either an external investment pool or mutual funds and are therefore exempt.

NOTE 3 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable amounting to $11,688 for Measures G as of June 30, 2014 consists of interest earned on investments.

NOTE 4 – CONSTRUCTION COMMITMENTS


NOTE 5 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In August 2014, the District issued General Obligation Bonds totaling $74,995,430.35. These bonds were issued to finance the acquisition, construction and modernization of property and facilities. The Current Interest and Capital Appreciation Bonds interest and yield vary, ranging from 1.00% to 4.910% and are scheduled to mature through August 2039.
NOTE 6 – MEASURES A AND G GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

As of June 30, 2014, the principal balance outstanding on the District’s Measure A and G General Obligation Bonds is indicated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure A</th>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Interest Rate</th>
<th>Original Issue</th>
<th>Bonds Outstanding, July 1, 2013</th>
<th>Redeemed</th>
<th>Bonds Outstanding, June 30, 2014</th>
<th>Due in one Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/3/2005</td>
<td>8/1/2030</td>
<td>3.00-5.00%</td>
<td>$110,000,000</td>
<td>$10,665,000</td>
<td>$985,497</td>
<td>$9,679,503</td>
<td>$1,289,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/2010</td>
<td>8/1/2026</td>
<td>2.00-4.50%</td>
<td>23,680,000</td>
<td>22,020,000</td>
<td>1,395,000</td>
<td>20,625,000</td>
<td>1,375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/28/2012</td>
<td>8/1/2030</td>
<td>1.50-5.00%</td>
<td>94,070,000</td>
<td>94,070,000</td>
<td>1,140,000</td>
<td>92,930,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>227,750,000</td>
<td>126,735,000</td>
<td>3,520,497</td>
<td>123,234,503</td>
<td>2,764,814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure G</th>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Interest Rate</th>
<th>Original Issue</th>
<th>Bonds Outstanding, July 1, 2013</th>
<th>Redeemed</th>
<th>Bonds Outstanding, June 30, 2014</th>
<th>Due in one Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/5/2011</td>
<td>8/1/2041</td>
<td>2.00-5.25%</td>
<td>70,000,000</td>
<td>64,815,000</td>
<td>3,385,000</td>
<td>61,430,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/5/2011</td>
<td>8/1/2026</td>
<td>2.00-5.00%</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80,000,000</td>
<td>72,815,000</td>
<td>5,385,000</td>
<td>67,430,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Totals    | $307,750,000  | $199,570,000   | $8,905,497     | $190,664,503                  | $2,764,814 |

In June 2002 and August 2005, the District issued General Obligation Bonds totaling $150,000,000. These bonds were issued to finance the acquisition, construction and modernization of property and facilities. The Current Interest and Capital Appreciation Bonds interest and yield vary, ranging from 3.00% to 5.00% and are scheduled to mature through August 2012 and August 2030 for the 2002 and 2005 issuances, respectively.

In August 2010, the District issued General Obligation Refunding Bonds totaling $23,680,000. These bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the District’s outstanding Election of 2002 General Obligation Bonds, Series A, and to pay the costs of issuing the bonds. This refinancing saved District taxpayers approximately $3.5 million. The bonds mature through August 2026 and bear interest at rates ranging from 2.00% to 4.50%.

In October 2011, the District issued $70,000,000 and $10,000,000 in 2010 General Obligation Bonds, Series A and Series A-1, respectively. The Series A Bonds are being issued to finance the repair, upgrading, acquisition, construction and equipping of certain District property and facilities approved by the District’s registered voters and to pay the cost of issuance associated with the Bonds. The Series A-1 Bonds are being issued to fund a technology endowment. Interest earnings from the endowment shall remain in the Building Fund and used for the purposes of that fund. The Series A and Series A-1 bonds will mature through August 2041 and have an interest rates ranging between 2.00% and 5.25%.
NOTE 6 – MEASURES A AND G GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (continued)

In August 2012, the District issued General Obligation Refunding Bonds totaling $94,070,000. These bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the District’s outstanding Election of 2002 General Obligation Bonds, Series B, and to pay the costs of issuing the bonds. This refinancing saved District taxpayers approximately $8.3 million. The bonds mature through August 2030 and bear interest at rates ranging from 1.50% to 5.00%.

The annual requirements to amortize all Measure A and G General Obligation Bond’s payable outstanding as of June 30, 2014, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ending June 30,</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$2,764,814</td>
<td>$8,876,349</td>
<td>$11,641,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2,838,263</td>
<td>9,146,806</td>
<td>12,170,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3,023,457</td>
<td>9,146,806</td>
<td>12,170,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3,156,993</td>
<td>9,341,970</td>
<td>12,498,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>3,383,007</td>
<td>9,553,680</td>
<td>12,936,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2024</td>
<td>31,882,970</td>
<td>39,512,343</td>
<td>71,395,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025-2029</td>
<td>58,110,000</td>
<td>27,236,312</td>
<td>85,346,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030-2034</td>
<td>41,665,000</td>
<td>14,110,025</td>
<td>55,775,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035-2039</td>
<td>23,565,000</td>
<td>8,492,062</td>
<td>32,057,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040-2042</td>
<td>20,275,000</td>
<td>1,659,131</td>
<td>21,934,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$190,664,503</td>
<td>$136,887,928</td>
<td>$327,552,431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORTS
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT
AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditors’

Governing Board Members and
Measures A and G Citizens’ Oversight Committee
Ohlone Community College District
Fremont, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the
United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in
Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the
financial statements of the Measure A and G of Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund, as of
and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which
collectively comprise the Measure A and G of Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s basic
financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 3, 2014.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the
Measure A and G of Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s internal control over financial
reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the
circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not
for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Measure A and G of
Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an
opinion on the effectiveness of the Measure A and G of Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s
internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow
management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to
prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a
deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a
reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will
not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a
deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a
material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with
governance.
Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Measure A and G of Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

**Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Signed

San Diego, California
December 3, 2014
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board Members and
Measures A and G Citizens’ Oversight Committee
Ohlone Community College District
Fremont, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure A and G of Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Measure A and G of Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.
We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

In connection with our audit, we also performed an audit for compliance as required in the performance requirements for the Measure A and G of General Obligation Bonds for the Year Ended June 30, 2014. The objective of the examination of compliance applicable to the District is to determine with reasonable assurance that:

- The proceeds of the sale of the Measure A and G of Bonds were only used for the purposes set forth in the Measure A and G ballot language and not for any other purpose, such as teacher and administrative salaries.

In performing our audit of compliance, we performed procedures including but not limited to those listed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Internal Control Evaluation</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Procedures Performed:**

Inquiries were made of management regarding internal controls to:

- Prevent fraud or waste regarding Measure A and G projects, including budgetary controls
- Ensure adequate separation of duties exists in the fiscal services department for Measure A and G funds
- Prevent material misstatements in the financial statements
- Ensure expenditures are allocated to the proper fund(s)
- To follow applicable regulations, including regulations related to bidding and contract management

We then performed substantive tests of financial statement balances to determine whether the controls designed by management were operating effectively, and to provide reasonable assurance that the fiscal year 2013-14 financial statement balances for the Proposition 39 Bond Building Fund are not materially misstated.

**Results of Procedures Performed:**

The result of our audit tests show that internal control procedures appear to be working to meet the financial and compliance objectives required by generally accepted accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. An unmodified opinion was expressed on the financial statements.
Facilities Site Walk

**Procedures Performed:**

We performed a site walk to verify that Measure G funds expended for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 were for valid facilities acquisition and construction purposes. CWA toured multiple District construction sites including the South Parking Structure and the Interim Student Classroom project, where construction work had commenced. We did not perform a site walk for Measure A funds because the remaining funds were expended to close out existing projects.

**Results of Procedures Performed:**

Results of the site walks indicate that the major 2013-14 Measure G planning and construction projects at Ohlone College were successfully completed and advanced into 2014-15 expenditure/construction phases where applicable.

Test of Expenditures

**Procedures Performed:**

We tested approximately $108,272 (58%) of the 2013-14 Measure A close out expenditures and approximately $5.22 million (25%) of the 2013-14 Measure G expenditures for validity, allowability and accuracy. Expenditures sampled in our test included payments made to contractors, consultants and other vendors.

**Results of Procedures Performed:**

We found the expenditures and transfers tested to be in compliance with the terms of the Measure A and G ballot measures, Facilities Plan, and applicable state laws and regulations without exception.
Test of Contracts and Bid Procedures

Procedures Performed:

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, we performed testing of four contracts to determine compliance with District policy and Public Contract Code provisions related to contracting and bidding:

Informal Bids

- Project: South Parking Lot Structure Design and Build
  Vendor: Overaa & Co.
  Original Contract Award Amount: $21,855,300

- Project: Parking Lot D & E Infill Paving Project
  Vendor: Duran & Venables, Inc.
  Original Contract Award Amount: $158,000

- Project: Coil Repair and Energy Efficiency Project Phase 1
  Vendor: Gilbane Building Company
  Original Contract Award Amount: $161,388

- Project: Gymnasium Light Ceiling Retrofit
  Vendor: Elco Electric, Inc.
  Original Contract Award Amount: $115,000

- Project: Temporary Parking Lot C
  Vendor: R & M Paving Contractors, Inc.
  Original Contract Award Amount: $46,900

- Project: Data Center Relocation Project
  Vendor: Omni Construction
  Original Contract Award Amount: $768,000

Results of Procedures Performed:

We found that the contracts tested above for bidding procedures followed proper bidding procedures and were awarded in all cases to the lowest responsible bidder.

In addition, we noted that the District has been providing the Governing Board with a listing of change orders to contract that relate to Measure A and G projects in the fiscal year 2013-14 and that no Measure A and G projects have a cumulative change order rate in excess of 10% of contract value.
Our audit of compliance was made for the purposes set forth in the second and third paragraphs of this report would not necessarily disclose all instances of noncompliance.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements for the Measures A and G General Obligation Bonds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, as listed and tested above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Measures A and G Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee, the District’s Governing Board, management, and the taxpayers of Ohlone Community College District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

San Diego, California
December 3, 2014
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS
There were no findings or recommendations for the year ended June 30, 2014
FINDING #2013-1: INFORMAL BIDDING PROCEDURES

Criteria: The California Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act (Public Contract Code Section 22000) requires that the District submit a written notice to all construction trade journals designated for that Agency, inviting all licensed contractors to submit the name of their firm to the Agency for inclusion on the Agency’s list of qualified bidders (i.e. the informal bidding list) for the following calendar year.

Condition: During our testing of awards of contracts subject to the informal bid procedures in 2012-2013, we noted that the District could not provide verification that they submitted the trade journal advertisement to all four required trade journals as required by the California Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act (CUPCCAA). This finding affected 3 (100%) informal bid contracts tested in 2012-2013.

Cause: The District did not maintain supporting documents to provide proof that the advertisement was submitted to the required trade journals.

Effect: Noncompliance with CUPCCAA.

Questioned Costs: None.

Recommendation: The District should ensure that all informal bid advertisements are properly submitted to the required trade journals in accordance with CUPCCAA. In addition, the District should maintain support such as fax confirmations or email confirmations that the advertisements were submitted.

District Response: While the District did advertise in required journals for individual projects, it did not meet the criteria for an annual advertisement to establish and maintain the annual list of prequalified contractors. The District has established an annual schedule to release an advertisement to all licensed contractors in the local trade journals to fully satisfy the CUPCCAA informal solicitations requirement. The District will secure proper documentation of the required trade journal advertisements going forward.

Status: Implemented