College Council Meeting Minutes
March 12, 2012

ADOPTED

MEMBERS PRESENT: Gari Browning  Tim Roberts
Scott Thomason  Kathleen Schoenecker
Eddie West  Walt Birkedahl (for Genie Gertz)
Ralph DeUnamuno  Bruce Griffin
Matt O’Donnell  Jim Wright
Peggy Kauffman  Tom Harchous
Dave Schurtz  Shairon Zingsheim
Ron Travenick  Sally Scofield
Nandar Lin  Jason Trinidad
Leta Stagnaro

MEMBERS ABSENT: Joanne Schultz  Alison Kuehner
Stephanie Pintello  Stephanie Ramos

OPEN MEETING:

1. College Council Meeting Minutes

   - Co-Chair reminding Council that, with regard to the by-laws discussed at the February 27th meeting, the final motion was to exclude changes for Article 4 altogether. Council will likely want to revisit at a future meeting (with regard to Co-Chair term of office, etc.).

   Approval of College Council minutes: February 27, 2012

   MOTION: Moved/Scofield; Second/DeUnamuno: Passed (with abstentions from Kauffman and Birkedahl) to approve the February 27, 2012 meeting minutes.

2. District Facilities Master Plan (draft)

   - Review of DFMP PowerPoint in draft form by HMC Architects. Discussion of background, analysis, options, recommendations, and next steps.

   - Question: Do you have a way to service these buildings and will we need to change the fleet of vehicles?
     o Response: Designing for fire truck access will help maintenance vehicles access for service purposes. A couple tricky locations, but goal was to get vehicles in close enough to be able to service most of buildings.

   - Question: With regard to lighting, some of the lights require a ladder to access & maintain. When stairs are involved, considering the amount, and location of lights, are more going to be low?
     o Response: Landscape architect favors a variety of lighting. As more available and tested, more LED lighting. What will be key are recommendations that set goals and guidelines. Asking for recommendations and priorities. Next level of detail will happen when design work begins.
- Question: Regarding the bus schedule and where they'll be run... Staff who have worked here... they stopped the through-way bus route mid-campus due to safety. If you're going to bring something back, refer to site safety plan from 10-11 years ago and impacts. Also, it seems like you're trying to change the Palm Bosque.
  o Response: Intention to keep palms but reconfigure. Keeping pedestrian circulation around differently designed green space to eliminate safety concern. Quite a bit of traffic will be concentrated in upper levels of campus. Hope that daily routine will just offer a drop-off alternative for busses and students. Contoured parking will allow for more level access. Over time, some question about how well the circulation and Palm Bosque were working and/or being used. Plan to implement “traffic calming” devices. Master plan can be reviewed and changed.
- Question: Are you going to use existing roads (Pine & Anza) or widen them?
  o Response: We may need to widen an area around the second natural pond.
- Question: Is there any entrance or are we considering multiple entrances.
  o Response: Mindful of the notion of many entrances. When you look at where the Palm Bosque is now, there will still be recommendation about the character of that area.
- Question: This plan allows people from the street to be able to look from Mission Blvd. all the way up the hill.
  o Response: Mindful of views up the hill and not just buildings with views from the hill. Can't necessarily control the development but will indicate that gateways will be maintained.
- Question: What impact will this construction have on the water intrusion project?
  o Response: Gilbane doing a site-infrastructure analysis/review. Will integrate BGWI project into new design to avoid interrupting any existing lines. Will keep information at hand to keep full composite look at this campus. Will be completing site survey to integrate into single project. Have to have a "utility master plan" to keep maintainable campus.
- Question: When the architect starts designing, they put their dream into the buildings but they don't incorporate how to maintain. What type of dreams do you have for the buildings and how are they going to be laid out?
  o Response: HMC will not be the architect but they will be reporting on maintenance issues (and others) to get concrete recommendations into their report for the architects to carry forward. Those concerns will be captured and goals for overall aesthetics and performance are spelled out. Next steps will build on that and evolve, based on college input and oversight into design process.
- One of the first pieces after DFMP is approved will set design standards for core buildings. That's a next step.
- Question: Migrating birds (swallows) -- after construction is done, are the buildings going to be “friendly” for the birds or will they not be able to access or build nests?
  o Response: We will try to work with some of the building orientations to have fewer windows facing west, etc. Very difficult to "bird proof" entirely and will be a significant design challenge.
- Next visit from HMC Architects is April 23.
- Board saw same presentation on 29th. HMC plan responded to all of the Board's concerns.
- Board also considering development of frontage property. Moving forward. Have an RFP draft but have to wait for completion and approval of DFMP.

3. Student Success Task Force
- Proposed legislation that would have changed funding formula. That would cause a lot of difficulty and colleges with more at-risk populations, more difficulties. Response to form a task force to look at funding and other aspects of student success (chancellor's website for recommendations).
• Some recommendations had good intentions but unfunded mandates, scorecard for colleges, etc.
• There are also recommendations that could curtail access for our student population. Centralization versus local community control shifting to Chancellor’s office which could impact local boards.
• Our board crafted resolution that will be going forward at this Wednesday's board meeting and their recommendation is to not endorse the SSTF recommendations.
• Will be ground-breaking ceremony of some sort for solar installation.

4. **Accreditation Overview**
   • Jim Wright review of documents distributed and presentation regarding preparation for future accreditation site visit.

5. **Suggestions for Future Topics:**
   • No time – send suggestions to Council Co-chairs

**ADJOURNED:** 4:40 p.m.

• **Future Meetings**
  (3:00 – 4:30 p.m. in Room 7101 on the following dates, unless otherwise noted):

  *Spring Semester Meetings*
  Room 7101, Student Services Center, Fremont

  March 26
  April 9; April 23
  May 14