

OHLONE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
FACILITIES COMMITTEE
Fremont Campus – Room 1407

Minutes of Facilities Committee
March 18, 2009
Page 1 of 4

MEMBERS PRESENT: Gari Browning, President/Superintendent
Mike Calegari, V.P., Administrative Services
Jim Wright, V.P., Academic Affairs
Ron Travenick, V.P., Student Services
Leta Stagnaro, A.V.P., Newark Center
Joanne Schultz, Building 1: Floors 1 & 2
Elva Duval, Building 1: Floors 1 & 2
Kathy Sparling, Building 1: Floors 3 & 4
Walt Birkedahl, Building 2: Music/GE
Bruce Griffin, Building 4: Art/GE – IT Staff
Zuhal Bahaduri, Building 5: Cafeteria, Bookstore, Monitor
Ann Burdett, Building 6
Ron Quinta, Building 8 and Hyman Hall Floor 1
Rick Arellano, Hyman Hall: Floor 1, CS
Bob Bradshaw, Hyman Hall: Floor 2, English/ESL, Math, GE
Chris Warden, Building 9
David Wood, Hyman Hall: Floor 2, English/ESL, Math, GE
Lucky Lofton, Building 10

MEMBERS ABSENT: Tim Roberts, Building 2: Music GE
Darren Bardell, Building 3: GE
Mark Robbins, Building 5: Cafeteria, Bookstore, Monitor
Kevin Feliciano, Building 5: Cafeteria, Bookstore, Monitor
Fred Alim, Smith Center: Theater/Dance, Broadcasting
Carmen Madden, Hyman Hall: Floor 2, English/ESL, Math, GE
Steve Miller, Newark Center

GUESTS: Ric Mangum, tBP
Carlene Nolan Pederson, tBP
Gary Frye, tBP
Jim Weaver, tBP
Martha Brown, Dean, Counseling, Ohlone College
Mikelyn Stacey, Dean, Math, Ohlone College
Arti Damani, Bond Accountant, Ohlone College

MEETING: Mike Calegari opened the meeting at 12:40 p.m. and turned it over to tBP Architecture.

SCHEDULED ITEMS

1. Update on New Long Range Master Plan Concept

Ric Mangum started with a progress update and let the group know how things will change over a time period of 20-25 years. The plan will happen in 3 phases and will be implemented starting in 2011. A drawing was shown of the front of the campus to give an idea of what it might look like if the area in front of Building 1 were to open up. The college's space needs will be collated with the 5 year plan of the college. The growth of the college can be looked at in three different ways: 1. Average growth, 2. The State Chancellor's allotment for growth, and 3. A blend of both the average growth and the State Chancellor's allotment. Growth is important to the secondary effects situation as well. Once the Student Services Building opens, it will be necessary to find the right size area for each department.

Carlene Nolan-Pederson discussed that the facilities committee has seen the plans for the campus at the meeting in February. It showed the connected and defined pathways as well as the Main St. area and Library Terrace. The maps shown at this meeting had additions including, parking, athletic fields, potential development areas and open space areas. Constraints on the property were shown and included the fault line, power lines, and easements. After putting all of these constraints on the map, it is known why the Student Services Building was not built near the Palm Bosque, which includes an easement and a fault line.

2. Phasing of Projects

Carlene discussed that there are three phases of the projects and gave a quick rundown of what each phase would include.

Phase 1:

- Main Street development with possible cyber café
- Demolish Building 8
- Portable buildings for temporary science department
- Construct new science building behind existing Building 8 site
- Library south side terrace development
- Central Pathway enhancement
- Increase parking on upper campus
- Moving of the baseball field and other athletic fields relocated
- Living Learning wetland enhanced development
- Modernize cafeteria and bookstore

- Phase 2:
 - Renovate library spaces
 - Building south parking garage
 - Develop Mission property
 - Enhance crosswalks on Mission
 - Athletic snack shack development
- Phase 3:
 - Remove Buildings 3 and 4 and build new anchor building on the opposite end of Main Street.
 - Remove science portables and create more surface parking
- Phase 4: Future Campus
 - Construct north parking garage
 - Construct new arts complex building

Questions from the committee:

- Is there a plan for moving building 10? It costs Buildings & Grounds 1-2 days of work each day to go back and forth from building 10 to campus. Vehicles are aging by going up and down the hill as well.
 - Answer: Not at this time.
- Does the diagram show a better incline from Hyman Hall to the rest of campus?
 - Yes, the ramps are addressed within the plans and changed.

The design process will be started within 18 months and many things are dependent upon a new Bond Measure. Research is being done to see if a Bond Measure for 2010 would be feasible and what would be included within that bond.

3. Review of Parking Numbers and Locations

The parking on campus, including proposed parking structures and surface parking were discussed throughout the meeting. If all of the campus areas for parking would be used, the campus could house approximately 3200 vehicles. The Fremont campus currently holds 2400 vehicles within its parking lots. The plan includes having parking structures on the north and south sides of campus which would include level surfaces to walk from car to campus. With the new plan, buildings would act as gateways, not barriers to parking.

Mike Calegari asked the group about their thoughts on charging a fee for the parking structure.

Gari Browning mentioned that the architects are composing a list of assumptions. It is imperative to know what the college actually wants before talking about how we can get there. There are different ways of getting the desired results, but we need to know what those desires include.

4. Topics for Next Meeting

Review goals and add assumptions

Revise Master Plan

Secondary Effects

Phasing schedule

It was advised to send any questions and concerns to Kelly Abad, Executive Assistant, Administrative Services at kabad@ohlone.edu. These questions would then be forwarded to tBP/Architecture.

The meeting concluded at 1:40 p.m.