

Ohlone College Faculty Senate  
March 15, 2017  
3:30-5:00 p.m.  
Rm. 7101

Members Present: Larissa Favela, Lisa Wesoloski, Drew Wise, Barbara Duggal, Narinder Bansal, Sobia Saleem, Jim McManus, Nan Zhou, Roberto Santiago, Sheryl Einfalt, Jacqlyn Vetter, Brenda Ahnholz, Mark Grabiner, Debbie Franklin; Rose Margaret Itua

Members Absent:

Others Present: Rob Smedfeld, Janel Tomlin-Brown, Diane Berkland, Marty Kludjian, Marie VerHarr, Leta Stagnaro, Miguel Fuentes

1. Share – All
  - a. Everyone shared what they are going to do during spring break.
2. Approval of Minutes from Mar. 1, 2017 – B. Ahnholz (A)
  - a. Approved with minor corrections.
3. Faculty Senate President Update (thank you, UFO Get Together, graduation, rise in hate groups in CA, Gender Sex Fair and PRIDE Survey, 50th Anniversary, MMAP update and request from J. MacEwan) - B. Ahnholz (I)
  - a. UFO get together starts at 4pm at Mission Pizza.
  - b. Thank you – Jim and Andrew
  - c. Jeff Roberts updated the graduation alum speaker. The process has been broaden to include people more than Ohlone alum. Jim Clint has been invited to speak since it's the 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary.
  - d. 10-year recipients combined with awards ceremony. Awards ceremony will be a 2pm – earlier than usual. Will be held in the smith center. More information and RSVP request will be sent.
  - e. Rise in Hate groups, California being the largest state. Phair gave a presentation about this during a conference. Proud to come together on the no-hate resolution.
  - f. Gender Sex Fair - April 19, Brenda would like faculty senate to sit as a table during this event. Would anyone like to sit at the booth? 12:30 to 1:30 is Jim. Andrew 12 – 12:30. 30 booths during this event – booths are from Ohlone and outside businesses and resources. 250 people attended.
  - g. Pride Survey – found in the Senate newsletter. Only 6 community colleges have participated in the survey. LQBQ friendly campus. Brenda needs to work with Leta's office about making the survey available to Ohlone.

- h. 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary – The website is impressive. Week of September 25 – 29 - remind faculty to plan events during this week. Ideas – open house for the communications lab. Want to see all 40 departments to plan something.
  - i. Question – can we get money for this? Email Shairon and tell her what your needs are.
  - ii. Excel sheet – Brenda will start and people will add what they are doing.
- i. MMAP update – How are things going? Jesse wants feedback.
- 4. Emeritus status for C. Bolt - J. Andrews and B. Ahnholz (I)
  - a. Jim is going to bring something to senate.
- 5. Final clarification document for combination of Academic Appeals and Student Conduct Board and membership. - D. Berkland (A)
  - a. Academic appeals committee / student conduct board document was shared.
    - i. Purpose of academic appeals and student conduct was shared.
      - 1. Q – what about cheating? It will depend on the charge itself. Appeals is the grade. Grade because of cheating, then they would be going to academic appeals. So much cheating happens that a student might appeal being suspended.
      - 2. The appeal still needs to fit into a specific category (fraud, ...)
      - 3. From a student – they didn't cheat, so they didn't deserve the grade.
      - 4. The academic dishonesty process takes the student to the VP of student services. Response from Leta - Plagiarism is under student code of conduct and can appeal to the VP of student services. The allegation of that conduct – not the grade.
    - ii. Committee Membership
      - 1. Shared membership.
        - a. Looking for 4 students with minimally two being available/present for the meeting.
        - b. Quorum elements –
          - i. Primary difference between the memberships...
            - 1. 5 people. The vote ends here.
            - 2. 6 people, because they include an administrator. This recommendation moves forward to the president for final approval.
            - 3. Rob suggested making the language clear that 5 faculty are on the board.

- b. Faculty senate endorses the academic appeals student conduct committee.
- 6. Faculty Committee Structure Final Draft - B. Ahnholz (A)
  - a. We need to approve this. This is a requirement of faculty senate.
    - i. Brenda shared a few of the sentences from the document – (faculty and deans working together, contract language, and a note at the end about this does not change what faculty are currently doing).
    - ii. Need to be consistent of how the sentences start. All start with a verb, or an article and a subject. “This document allows... “ Brenda needs to share.
    - iii. Faculty senate unanimously endorsed the committee structure with minor edits.
  - b. New excel spreadsheet to document a 6-year process.
- 7. Faculty Senate Gender Equity Resolution - B. Ahnholz (I)
  - a. It’s Women’s History month. Women’s history proclamation does not exist. Someone recommended to change the language to all people’s bodies – this is about women’s bodies.
  - b. The second whereas is legislature, but should be legislation action.
  - c. UC Berkley recommendations was referenced when creating this document. American Historical Association – recommendation for gender equality. Brenda will send this link to faculty.
  - d. Brenda made changes directly on the document.
  - e. Rob shared that a specific language in the document does not have an educational connection. How does this statement come into play in the education?
    - i. Power or decisions about your body and your lives...
    - ii. The tenure process is prolonged if a woman takes time off during a semester.
    - iii. Abortion, birth control when this section is read – these phrases are about abortion.
    - iv. Women in STEM – ask to be one of the guys. – Rose –M.
    - v. Can it be rephrased? Brenda will follow-up with Barbara to have this rephrased (STEM, tenure extended, sexual harrassment...)
    - vi. Some don’t mind the phrasing, others would like to see it rephrased
  - f. Thank you for creating this – Rose M.
- 8. Noted – about the change in the agenda. It was simply because Leta and Minh was not ready. It will take a little longer to iron out all the details. Very positive mindset of ironing this out and taking academic dishonest seriously. Tabled – not ready.
  - a. No changes to the academic policy. Procedure and the reporting form do exist on the

website.

- b. Forms and documents on the senate website. Forms under student services.
- c. The procedure and academic reporting form should only be accessed from the student services website.

Meeting adjourned at 4:48pm